Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Strong intramolecular $O = H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds in quinaldic acid *N*-oxide and picolinic acid *N*-oxide

Thomas Steiner,^a* Antoine M. M. Schreurs,^b Martin Lutz^b and Jan Kroon^b

^aInstitut für Chemie – Kristallographie, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustrasse 6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany, and ^bBijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence e-mail: steiner@chemie.fu-berlin.de

Received 23 November 1999 Accepted 26 January 2000

The title compounds contain very short intramolecular hydrogen bonds of the type $C-O-H\cdots O-N$. The $O\cdots O$ distances are 2.425 (2) Å in picolinic acid *N*-oxide (2-carboxy-pyridine *N*-oxide), $C_6H_5NO_3$, (I), and 2.435 (2) Å in quinaldic acid *N*-oxide (2-carboxyquinoline *N*-oxide), $C_{10}H_7NO_3$, (II). In (II), this is associated with slight molecular distortion from planarity, while in (I), such an effect cannot be observed because the molecule crystallizes on a mirror plane.

Comment

There is a current revival of interest in the so-called very strong hydrogen bonds (Jeffrey, 1997). For the best studied of these hydrogen bonds, $O-H \cdots O$, the majority of examples belong to a small number of chemical situations, i.e. the combination of acid and complementary base $(O-H \cdots O^{-})$, the combination of base and complementary acid (O···H-O⁺), and 'resonance assisted hydrogen bonding' (Hibbert & Emsley, 1990; Gilli et al., 1994). It is characteristic for all these cases that proton transfer leads to a chemically identical situation $(O-H\cdots O^- \rightarrow O\cdots H-O, etc.)$. Not all very strong hydrogen bonds, however, belong to one of these categories, e.g. the intramolecular hydrogen bonds in 2carboxypyridine N-oxide (picolinic acid N-oxide), (I), and many of its derivatives. Although donor and acceptor groups of (I) are chemically very different, intramolecular hydrogen bonds are very short. In 6-methyl-2-carboxypyridine N-oxide, the intramolecular $O \cdots O$ distance was reported as 2.41 Å, and the H atom was found bonded to the acid group (Dideberg & Dupont, 1975). In the 6-carboxy derivative of (I), 2,6dicarboxypyridine N-oxide, which crystallizes with two symmetry-independent molecules, the four independent intramolecular hydrogen bonds have $O \cdot \cdot O$ separations in the range 2.45-2.48 Å, and H atoms are bonded at the acid groups (Rychlewska & Gdaniec, 1977). For (I), the crystal structure was reported only with relatively low accuracy, and an intramolecular $O \cdots O$ distance of about 2.39 Å (Laing & Nicholson, 1971). The H-atom position in the hydrogen bond could not be located. Because the short $C-O-H\cdots O-N$ hydrogen bonds in (I) and derivatives of (I) are chemically unusual, and to investigate the hydrogen-bond geometry with high reliability, we have determined the low-temperature crystal structure of quinaldic acid *N*-oxide, (II), and repeated the crystal-structure determination of (I), also at low temperature. In parallel, Hadzi and coworkers have performed extensive IR spectroscopic and computational investigations of (I), (II) and related molecules (Stare *et al.*, 2000). In particular, hydrogen-bond energies are calculated around -15 kcal mol⁻¹ (*in vacuo*) (1 cal = 4.184 J).



The molecular structures of (I) and (II) are shown in Fig. 1. In both molecules, very short intramolecular hydrogen bonds are formed, with $O \cdots O$ distances of 2.425 (2) in (I) and 2.435 (2) Å in (II). The relevant H atoms are much closer to the acid than to the *N*-oxide O atoms, so that the hydrogen bonds are of the type $C-O-H\cdots O-N$ (geometries in Tables 1 and 2). In the carboxyl group, the C=O and C-O bonds are clearly distinct [C=O 1.208 (2) in (I) and 1.214 (2) Å in (II); C-O 1.309 (2) in (I) and 1.305 (2) Å in (II)]. Because of stereochemical restriction of the C-O-H angle, the hydrogen bonds are relatively non-linear with $O-H\cdots O$ angles around 160°. The N-O distances are 1.342 (2) and 1.333 (2) Å in (I) and (II), respectively, which is



Figure 1

The molecular structures of (a) (I), and (b) (II). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

slightly but significantly elongated compared to the average value of 1.304 Å in pyridine N-oxides (Allen *et al.*, 1992; σ of sample = 0.012 Å). Because (I) crystallizes on a mirror plane, the observed geometry is restricted to be perfectly planar. Compound (II) crystallizes on a general position, so that deviations from planarity can be observed. Actually, O1 and O2 are displaced from the pyridine least-squares plane in opposite directions by +0.092(2) and -0.049(3) Å, respectively, and the resulting torsion angle O1-N1···C11-O2 is $3.3 (1)^{\circ}$. This is only a small distortion from planarity.

The edges of molecules (I) and (II) are constituted exclusively with O atoms and C-H groups. Therefore, it must be expected that weak $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds are formed in the crystal structures (Steiner, 1997; Desiraju & Steiner, 1999). Actually, there are many such interactions (Tables 1 and 2), but the geometries are all unfavourable with strongly bent angles. C-H···O hydrogen bonding does not seem to be particularly important in these compounds.

Experimental

Picolinic acid N-oxide, (I), was obtained from Sigma and quinaldic acid N-oxide was synthesized as described by Stare et al. (2000). Both compounds were recrystallized from MeOH.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

C ₆ H ₅ NO ₃	$D_x = 1.554 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 139.11$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$	Cell parameters from 28
$a = 6.802 (1) \text{ Å}^{-1}$	reflections
b = 6.066 (2) Å	$\theta = 4.7 - 18.7^{\circ}$
c = 7.804 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.127 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 112.61 (1)^{\circ}$	T = 125 K
V = 297.25 (12) Å ³	Block, colourless
Z = 2	0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 mm
Data collection	
Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.42^{\circ}$
2264 measured reflections	$h = -5 \rightarrow 8$
741 independent reflections	$k = -7 \rightarrow 7$
654 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$l = -9 \rightarrow 10$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0453P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	+ 0.0912P
$wR(F^2) = 0.096$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.117	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
741 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.36 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

77 parameters

H atoms refined isotropically

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) Extinction coefficient: 0.07 (3)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O2−H2···O1	1.04 (3)	1.42 (3)	2.425 (2)	159 (3)
$C3-H3\cdots O1^{i}$	0.91(2)	2.51(2)	3.235 (2)	138 (2)
$C4-H4\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.94(2)	2.87(2)	3.435 (2)	120(2)
$C5-H5\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.99(2)	2.66 (2)	3.328 (2)	126 (2)
C5-H5···O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99(2)	2.72 (2)	3.285 (2)	117 (2)
$C6-H6\cdots O3^{iii}$	0.97 (2)	2.41 (2)	3.082 (2)	126 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) x - 1, y, z - 1; (iii) x, y, z - 1.

Compound (II)

Crystal data

$C_{10}H_7NO_3$ $M_r = 189.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 3.821 (3) Å b = 16.241 (1) Å c = 13.062 (3) Å $\beta = 91.01$ (1)° V = 810.5 (7) Å ³	$D_x = 1.550 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 26 reflections $\theta = 3.3-18.4^\circ$ $\mu = 0.117 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 125 K Needle, yellow
Z = 4	$0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer ω scans 8148 measured reflections 1829 independent reflections 1449 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$R_{int} = 0.083 \theta_{max} = 27.50^{\circ} h = -4 \to 4 k = -21 \to 19 l = -15 \to 16$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$ $wR(F^2) = 0.115$ S = 1.089 1829 reflections 156 parameters H atoms refined isotropically	$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0351P)^2 \\ &+ 0.5447P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \ {\rm e}\ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \ {\rm e}\ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction\ correction:\ SHELXL97} \\ ({\rm Sheldrick,\ 1997}) \\ {\rm Extinction\ coefficient:\ 0.008\ (4)} \end{split}$

Table 2 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (II).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O2−H2···O1	0.98 (3)	1.48 (3)	2.435 (2)	161 (2)
$C4-H4\cdots O2^{i}$	0.98 (2)	2.54 (2)	3.288 (2)	132 (1)
C4-H4···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.98 (2)	2.56 (2)	3.344 (2)	137 (1)
C7−H7···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97(2)	2.44(2)	3.278 (2)	144 (1)
$C7-H7\cdots O1^{iv}$	0.97(2)	2.83 (2)	3.322 (2)	112 (1)
$C9-H9\cdots O3^{v}$	0.96(2)	2.83 (2)	3.395 (2)	119 (1)
$C10-H10\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.96 (2)	2.79 (2)	3.544 (2)	136 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z; (iv) $-x, 1-y, -z; (v) -\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z.$

The area-detector data were 98.3 and 98.9% complete to $2\theta = 55^{\circ}$ for (I) and (II), respectively. The H atoms were located in difference Fourier calculations and were refined isotropically. All H-atom displacement parameters refined to realistic values [H atoms bonded to C: 0.031-0.038 in (I) and 0.019-0.033 Å² in (II); carboxylic acid H atom: 0.072 (9) in (I) and 0.059 (8) Å² in (II)].

For both compounds, data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: COLLECT; data reduction: COLLECT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Professor Dusan Hadzi (Ljubljana) is thanked for suggesting this problem and for supplying the samples.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DE1129). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1992). International Tables of Crystallography, Vol. 3, pp. 685-706. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). In The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. Oxford University Press.
- Dideberg, O. & Dupont, L. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 2719-2720.
- Gilli, P., Ferretti, V., Bertolasi, V. & Gilli, G. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 1023-1028.
- Hibbert, F. & Emsley, J. (1990). Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 26, 255-379.

- Jeffrey, G. A. (1997). In An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Laing, M. & Nicholson, C. (1971). J. S. Afr. Chem. Inst. 24, 186–195. Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Rychlewska, U. & Gdaniec, M. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 3555-3558.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stare, J., Mavri, J., Ambrozic, G. & Hadzi, D. (2000). J. Mol. Struct. In the press..
- Steiner, T. (1997). Chem. Commun. pp. 727-743.